

## Asymmetric Copper-Catalyzed Carbozincation of Cyclopropenes en Route to the Formation of Diastereo- and Enantiomerically Enriched Polysubstituted Cyclopropanes

Daniel S. Müller and Ilan Marek\*

The Mallat Family Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Schulich Faculty of Chemistry, and The Lise Meitner-Minerva Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** The enantioselective synthesis of cyclopropylzinc reagents has been achieved via a coppercatalyzed carbozincation of 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropenes with diorganozinc reagents. The obtained organozinc compounds can be easily functionalized with a broad range of electrophiles, including palladium-catalyzed crosscouplings, affording highly substituted cyclopropanes. The operationally simple procedure using very low quantities of a commercially available and inexpensive copper catalyst provides a new tool for the synthesis of highly enantioenriched cyclopropanes as single diastereoisomers.

C yclopropanes are important structural motifs found in a broad range of biologically active compounds and pharmaceuticals.<sup>1</sup> As a consequence, over the last few decades great progress has been made in constructing enantiomerically enriched substituted cyclopropanes<sup>2</sup> using one of the main methods summarized in Scheme 1. The catalytic metal-

# Scheme 1. General Approaches for the Enantioselective Formation of Cyclopropanes

Transition metal-catalyzed decomposition of diazoalkanes



catalyzed decomposition of diazoalkanes is generally highly enantioselective and can be performed with a large variety of different transition metal species with very low catalyst loadings when monosubstituted alkenes are used.<sup>3</sup> On the contrary, the Simmons–Smith–Furukawa cyclopropanation of allylic alcohols<sup>4</sup> and more recently homoallylic ethers<sup>5</sup> is often highly diastereo- and enantioselective and allows the preparation of chiral cyclopropanes possessing a large variety of substituents on the cyclopropyl ring. Because of the flexibility of the olefin pattern, this method has been extensively used in synthesis despite the fact that a stoichiometric, or in some cases substoichiometric, amount of chiral ligand is required.<sup>6</sup> Finally, important progress has been made in the addition of nucleophiles across activated alkenes followed by 1,3elimination reactions.<sup>7</sup> It should be noted that these three methods lead to the formation of functionalized cyclopropanes, which may be an advantage if one needs to perform further chemical functionalization.

As we are interested in the exploitation of the multifold reactivity of organometallic species to merge allylic C–H and C–C bond activations of pure hydrocarbons,<sup>8</sup> we have recently reported this combined reaction to transform  $\omega$ -ene cyclopropanes into acyclic compounds possessing challenging quaternary carbon stereogenic centers<sup>9</sup> (Scheme 2, path A).

Scheme 2. Proposed Asymmetric Catalytic Carbometalation of Cyclopropenes en Route to the Diastereo- and Enantioselective Preparation of Cyclopropanes

Path A Allylic C-H bond activation and selective C-C bond cleavage



Path B Asymmetric carbometalation of cyclopropenes (this work)



In this context, we soon realized that the diastereo- and enantioselective preparation of cyclopropanes possessing only hydrocarbon chains (therefore composed of C and H) required tedious manipulations of any functionalized cyclopropanes reported in Scheme 1. It became clear that a catalytic method leading to the formation of variously enantioenriched substituted cyclopropanes composed of only hydrocarbon chains as single diastereoisomers and enantiomers was in its

Received: October 27, 2015 Published: December 1, 2015 infancy<sup>10</sup> and therefore highly desirable. Having studied the diastereoselective carbometalation reactions of cyclopropenyl esters<sup>11</sup> and following the pioneering work of Nakamura, Lautens, Fox, Tortosa, and Endo<sup>12</sup> we anticipated that the enantioselective carbometalation of cyclopropenes would be an ideal approach to access polysubstituted enantioenriched cyclopropane structures as single diastereoisomers (Scheme 2, path B).

For our initial studies, we chose achiral cyclopropene 1 (Scheme 2, path B), as it requires the chiral catalyst to perform a double facial selection: (i) enantiotopic face selection (left or right) and (ii) diastereotopic face selection (top or bottom). Moreover, as cyclopropyl metal species 2 is known to be configurationally stable and react with retention of configuration,<sup>13</sup> the addition of an electrophile should lead to the formation of cyclopropane 3 containing three stereocenters, including the carbon quaternary stereocenter. We began our investigation by performing the copper-catalyzed carbozincation reaction, and a rapid screening of chiral phosphorus ligands and copper salts led us to identify the combination of copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) with (R)-BINAP as an excellent initial combination.<sup>14</sup> Under these conditions, cyclopropane 3a was obtained with good diastereoselectivity and an enantiomeric ratio of 84:16 (Table 1, entry 1), albeit accompanied by the formation of the dimer A, which was very likely formed through a Cu-catalyzed  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ addition.<sup>15,12d</sup> Further optimization showed that the enantioselectivity as well as the diastereoselectivity could be improved at lower temperature (entry 2), where full conversion was achieved within 3 h at -78 °C with 5 mol % Cu catalyst.

<u>)</u> 1a	i) Et <sub>2</sub> Zn ( CuX (r Ligand Solven ii) HCl (1	(2.0 e nol%) d (Cu: it, T, 3 M)	L = 1:1.1) $E = 1:1.1)$ $E = 1:1.3$		Ph Ph	A <sup>Me</sup>
Entr	y CuX /(mol%)	L	Reaction conditions	dr <sup>[b]</sup>	er <sup>[c]</sup>	<b>A</b> <sup>[b,d]</sup>
1	CuTC / 5	L1	Et <sub>2</sub> O, 0 °C	15:1	84:16	15%
2	CuTC / 5	L1	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C	>99:1	92:8	14%
3 <sup>e</sup>	CuTC / 5	L2	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C to 0 °C	67:1	86:14	23%
4 <sup>e</sup>	CuTC / 5	L3	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C to 0 °C	24:1	91:9	22%
5	CuTC / 5	L4	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C	94:1	88:12	19%
6	CuTC / 5	L5	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C	>99:1	93:7	4%
7	CuTC / 10	L5	Et <sub>2</sub> O, -78 °C	>99:1	93:7	<2%
8 <sup><i>f</i></sup>	$Cu(CH_3CN)_4PF_6$ / 5	L5	THF, 0 °C	>99:1	93:7	<2%
<b>9</b> <i>g</i>	Cu(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> / 3	L5	THF, 0 °C	>99:1	93:7	<b>&lt;2%</b>
10	Cu(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> / 3		THF, 0 °C	5:1		40%
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \textbf{L3 Ar = Ph; (R)-SEGPHOS} \\ \hline & & \textbf{L4 Ar = 3,5 dimethylbenzene} \\ \hline & & \textbf{PAr}_2 \\ \hline & & \textbf{L5 Ar = } t\text{-Bu} \\ \hline & & \textbf{-OMe} \\ \hline \end{array}$						
L1 A	r = Ph; ( <i>R</i> )-BINAP			<i>t</i> -Bu		
<b>L2</b> Ar = <i>p</i> -Tol; ( <i>R</i> )- <i>p</i> -TolBINAP				(R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS		

#### Table 1. Reaction Optimization<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>The reactions were run on a 0.25 mmol scale, and full conversion was observed by GC analysis. <sup>*b*</sup>Estimated by GC–MS analysis. <sup>*c*</sup>Determined by chiral GC. <sup>*d*</sup>Combined integration of all diastereoisomers with m/z = 260 (mass for dimeric compounds). <sup>*e*</sup><20% conversion after 3 h at -78 °C. <sup>*f*</sup>Full conversion was achieved within 15 min. <sup>*g*</sup>1.2 equiv of Et<sub>2</sub>Zn.

Examination of ligands of the BINAP family showed that (*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS completely suppressed the dimeric side product **A** and increased the enantioselectivity (entry 7).<sup>12d</sup> Further improvement could be obtained by using Cu- $(CH_3CN)_4PF_6$  in THF and warming the reaction mixture to 0 °C, which gave full conversion within 15 min (entry 8). Although the enantiomeric ratio did not improve under these new experimental conditions (compare entries 7 and 8), the higher reaction temperature greatly improved the practicality of the reaction. Finally, we found that only 1.2 equiv of Et<sub>2</sub>Zn and 3 mol % catalyst are necessary to ensure complete conversion (entry 9). A control experiment emphasized the importance of the copper complex for selective, efficient, and clean reactions (entry 10).

With the optimized conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 9), we then explored the scope of the reaction. Our first goal was to investigate the reactivity of the configurationally stable cyclopropylzinc species 2 resulting from the asymmetric copper-catalyzed ethylzincation reaction with various electrophiles, as described in Scheme 3.14 Addition of I2 delivered cyclopropyl iodide 3b in high yield, whereas addition of Buchwald's SPhos ligand in combination with  $Pd(OAc)_2$ smoothly catalyzed the Negishi cross-coupling with styrenyl bromide to afford 3c in excellent yield.<sup>16</sup> Cyclopropylzinc species 2 could also be transmetalated to copper and undergo smooth allylation and 1,4-addition reactions, providing 3d-f in good to excellent yields.<sup>18</sup> It should be noted that all of the cyclopropanes 3b-f were obtained as single diastereoisomers, confirming that the transmetalation proceeds with complete retention of configuration, with the same enantiomeric ratio of 93:7. When different commercially available diorganozinc reagents such as Me<sub>2</sub>Zn, Bu<sub>2</sub>Zn, and Ph<sub>2</sub>Zn, were tested in the copper-catalyzed carbozincation of cyclopropene 1a, we were pleased to see that the carbozincation also proceeded smoothly, albeit with slightly lower enantioselectivity (formation of 3g-i; Scheme 3), and the products were still obtained as single diastereoisomers after transmetalation and reaction with methyl vinyl ketone. For the reactions leading to cyclopropanes 3g and 3i, we found that the combination CuTC with (R)-DTM-SEGPHOS (as discussed in Table 1, entry 7) provides better enantiomeric ratio and diastereoselectivity compared with the standard method (Table 1, entry 9).<sup>1</sup> Comparison of the NMR spectra and optical rotation of 3i with those of an authentic sample known in the literature confirmed the absolute and relative configurations.<sup>12d</sup>

Finally, we extended our copper-catalyzed carbozincation reaction to cyclopropenes bearing different quaternary carbon centers (Scheme 3). Cyclopropanes 3j and 3k having electrondeficient aryl groups and product 31 with an electron-donating aryl group were obtained with similar enantioselectivities compared to the parent substrate (Table 1, entry 9) as single diastereoisomers. Even when the discrimination between the two diastereotopic faces was very challenging (iPr vs Ph), the organometallic complex reacted with complete diastereoselectivity, leading to 3m with an outstanding enantiomeric ratio (99.7:0.3 er). Cyclopropane 3n with a more rigid backbone and cyclopropane 30 with a more flexible benzyl substituent were also obtained with good to excellent enantioselectivities, respectively. In the latter case, the possible lower steric differentiation of the two diastereotopic faces of the parent cyclopropene produces the two diastereoisomers as a 4:1 mixture but with almost complete enantiocontrol (99.3:0.7 er). Interestingly, the carbometalation of cyclopropene leading to

Scheme 3. Scope of the Asymmetric Cu-Catalyzed Carbozincation of Cyclopropenes<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Isolated yields on a 0.5–1.0 mmol scale are reported. Conditions for functionalization of the cyclopropylzinc reagent are described in the Supporting Information. Enantiomeric ratios were determined by chiral HPLC or GC analysis. <sup>*b*</sup>Changed reaction conditions: CuTC (5 mol %), L5 (5.5 mol %), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C, 3 h. <sup>c</sup>8 h at 0 °C. <sup>*d*</sup>Changed reaction conditions: CuTC (10 mol %), L5 (11 mol %), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C, 3 h, then warmed for 3 h at 0 °C.

the formation of 3q was very sluggish under our best experimental conditions (Table 1, entry 9), resulting in the formation of cyclopropane 3q with low conversion (<20%), suggesting the reluctance of the chiral copper catalyst to deliver the organic substituent syn to the phenyl group at the 3position. Better conversion could be achieved only after significantly prolonged reaction times (8 h instead of 15 min) in the presence of 5 mol % catalyst. Finally, when a parent cyclopropene without an aromatic group was used (i.e., the formation of 3r), a moderate enantioselectivity (80:20 er) was obtained under the experimental conditions described in Table 1, entry 9 (not shown in Scheme 3). However, changing to the complex of CuTC with (*R*)-DTM-SEGPHOS in Et<sub>2</sub>O (Table 1, entry 7) led to a strong improvement in the enantiomeric ratio (96:4 er), and a single diastereoisomer was obtained after the palladium cross-coupling reaction with *p*-bromoacetophenone. This example impressively shows the ability of the chiral catalyst to differentiate the two enantiotopic faces without any significant bonding to the substituents at the 3-position of the cyclopropene and to react chemoselectively with functionalized electrophiles.

To demonstrate the robustness and scalability of this method, we performed the copper-catalyzed carbozincation on a larger scale and were delighted to see that the reaction still proceeds efficiently with as little as 0.5 mol % copper catalyst to produce the expected cyclopropanes in excellent enantiomeric ratios as unique diastereoisomers (Scheme 4). The high functional group tolerance of these couplings is showcased by the syntheses of **3s** and **3t** presenting ketone and aldehyde moieties, respectively.<sup>18</sup>





In summary, the copper-catalyzed enantioselective carbozincation of cyclopropenes affords highly enantioenriched and configurationally stable cyclopropylzinc reagents. Facile functionalization reactions through either copper- or palladiumcatalyzed transformations provide a large variety of substituted cyclopropanes as single diastereoisomers. Hence, a great number of cyclopropanes can be accessed from a single enantiomerically enriched cyclopropylzinc precursor. We are currently investigating alternative nucleophiles, monosubstituted cyclopropenes, and cyclopropenes leading to biologically significant motifs as well as further transformations of the in situ-generated cyclopropylzinc reagents.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11220.

Optimization reactions, experimental procedures, and characterization data (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HRMS) for all new compounds (PDF)

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author \*chilanm@techunix.technion.ac.il

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a grant from the European Research Council under the Seventh Framework Program of the European Community (ERC Grant Agreement 338912). The authors thank Takasago for a generous gift of ligands.

#### REFERENCES

(1) For recent reviews, see: (a) Kulinkovich, O. G. In Cyclopropanes in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2015. (b) Schneider, T. F.; Kaschel, J.; Werz, D. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 5504. (c) Chen, D. Y. K.; Pouwer, R. H.; Richard, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2012**, 41, 4631. (d) Roy, M.-N.; Lindsay, V. N. G.; Charette, A. B. Stereoselective Synthesis: Reactions of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds (Science of Synthesis); de Vries, J. G., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2011; Vol 1, p 731. (e) Tang, P.; Qin, Y. Synthesis **2012**, 44, 2969. (f) Chen, D. Y. K.; Pouwer, R. H.; Richard, J. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2012**, 41, 4631. (g) Wessjohann, L. A.; Brandt, W.; Thiemann, T. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 1625.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Bartoli, G.; Bencivenni, G.; Dalpozzo, R. Synthesis 2014, 46, 979. (b) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 7041.
(c) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117.
(d) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 977. (e) Davies, H. M. L.; Beckwith, R. E. J. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2861. (f) Charette, A. B.; Beauchemin, A. Org. React. 2001, 58, 1.

(3) (a) Doyle, M. P.; Duffy, R.; Ratnikov, M.; Zhou, L. Chem. Rev.
2010, 110, 704. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Antoulinakis, E. G. Org. React.
2001, 57, 1. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 911.
(4) (a) Shitama, H.; Katsuki, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2450. (b) Charette, A. B.; Molinaro, C.; Brochu, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2001, 123, 12168. (c) Charette, A. B.; Juteau, H.; Lebel, H.; Molinaro, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11943. (d) Denmark, S. E.; O'Connor, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 584.

(5) Lacasse, M.-C.; Poulard, C.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12440.

(6) For the use of Charette's dioxaborolane ligand on an industrial scale, see: Anthes, R.; Benoit, S.; Chen, C. K.; Corbett, E. A.; Corbett, R. M.; DelMonte, A. J.; Gingras, S.; Livingston, R. C.; Pendri, Y.; Sausker, J.; Soumeillant, M. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2008**, *12*, 178.

(7) (a) Papageorgiou, C. D.; Cubillo de Dios, M. A.; Ley, S. V.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4641. (b) Johansson, C. C.; Bremeyer, N.; Ley, S. V.; Owen, D. R.; Smith, S. C.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6024. (c) Kakei, H.; Sone, T.; Sohtome, Y.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13410. (d) Aggarwal, V. K.; Alonso, E.; Fang, G.; Ferrara, M.; Hynd, G.; Porcelloni, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1433. (e) Kunz, R. K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3240. For a review, see: (f) Volla, C. M. R.; Atodiresei, I.; Rueping, M. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2390.

(8) (a) Masarwa, A.; Didier, D.; Zabrodski, T.; Schinkel, M.; Ackermann, L.; Marek, I. *Nature* **2014**, *505*, 199. (b) Vasseur, A.; Perrin, L.; Eisenstein, O.; Marek, I. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 2770.

(9) For reviews, see: (a) Marek, I.; Masarwa, A.; Delaye, P.-O.; Leibeling, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 414. (b) Marek, I.; Minko, Y.; Pasco, M.; Mejuch, T.; Gilboa, N.; Chechik, H.; Das, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2682. (c) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. Nature 2014, 516, 181. (d) Hong, A. Y.; Stoltz, B. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 2745. (e) Das, J. P.; Marek, I. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4593. (f) Hawner, C.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7295. (g) Bella, M.; Gasperi, T. Synthesis 2009, 2009, 1583. (h) Cozzi, P. G.; Hilgraf, R.; Zimmermann, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2007, 5969. (i) Marek, I.; Sklute, G. Chem. Commun. 2007, 43, 1683. (j) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. Synthesis 2006, 369. (k) Christoffers, J.; Baro, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1473. (l) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5363.

(m) Denissova, I.; Barriault, L. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10105.
(n) Christoffers, J.; Mann, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4591.
(o) Corey, E. J.; Guzman-Perez, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 388.

(10) (a) Voituriez, A.; Charette, A. B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2363. (b) Long, J.; Yuan, Y.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13632. (c) Norsikian, S.; Marek, I.; Klein, S.; Poisson, J.-F.; Normant, J.-F. Chem. - Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2055.

(11) (a) Didier, D.; Delaye, D.; Simaan, M.; Island, B.; Eppe, G.; Eijsberg, H.; Kleiner, A.; Knochel, P.; Marek, I. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, 20, 1038. (b) Simaan, M.; Delaye, P.; Shi, M.; Marek, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12345.

(12) (a) Nakamura, M.; Hirai, E.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2000, 122, 978. (b) Kramer, K.; Leong, P.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett.
2011, 13, 819. (c) Liu, X.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5600. (d) Parra, A.; Amenós, L.; Guisán-Ceinos, M.; López, A.; García Ruano, J. L.; Tortosa, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15833. (e) Nakano, T.; Endo, K.; Ukaji, Y. Synlett 2015, 26, 671.

(13) For the first reports of configurational stability of cyclopropyllithium, -magnesium, and -zinc reagents, see: (a) Walborsky, H. M.; Aronoff, M. S. J. Organomet. Chem. **1965**, 4, 418. (b) Walborsky, H. M.; Young, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1964**, 86, 3288–3296. (c) Piers, E.; Jean, M.; Marrs, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 5075–5078. In general, the cyclopropyl anion is configurationally stable unless it is substituted by an anion-stabilizing group. See: (d) Walborsky, H. M.; Periasamy, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1974**, 96, 3711.

(14) See the Supporting Information for details.

(15) In the absence of copper catalyst, the conversion was less than 5%. This experimental finding excludes light as a major origin for the dimerization of cyclopropene **1a**.

(16) Milne, J. E.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 13028. (17) Results with Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> and THF at 0 °C: for **3g**, 93:7:0 dr, 79:21 er; for **3i**, 92:8:0 dr, 82:18 er.

(18) Importantly, the chiral Cu(I) catalyst already present in the reaction medium was inefficient in catalyzing the reaction, and CuCN-2LiCl (0.5 equiv) was added before addition of allyl bromide.